 ISSN-2581-8953

GREEN TECHNOLOGY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT: AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF GROWTH–SUSTAINABILITY TRADE-OFFS IN INDIA.

Dr. Salabh Mehrotra[1]

[1]Associate Professor, Nice School of Business Studies, Shobhit University, Meerut

ABSTRACT

Economic development in India over the past several decades has been marked by rapid industrialization, structural transformation, and sustained economic growth. This growth process has contributed significantly to poverty reduction, employment generation, and improvements in living standards. However, it has also imposed substantial environmental costs in the form of rising greenhouse gas emissions, air and water pollution, natural resource depletion, and increasing vulnerability to climate change. India's heavy reliance on fossil fuels, particularly coal, to meet its growing energy demand has intensified these challenges, placing the country among the largest carbon emitters globally. At the same time, India is highly susceptible to climate-related risks such as erratic monsoons, floods, droughts, heatwaves, and declining agricultural productivity, which threaten long-term economic stability and social welfare.

In this context, the pursuit of economic development through conventional growth models has increasingly been questioned, leading to a renewed emphasis on sustainable development. Green technology has emerged as a critical instrument for reconciling the objectives of economic growth and environmental sustainability. Green technology broadly refers to technologies, processes, and practices that reduce environmental damage, enhance resource efficiency, and promote the use of renewable and low-carbon energy sources. In India, policy initiatives such as the National Solar Mission, National Electric Mobility Mission, National Bio-Energy Mission, and Green Hydrogen Policy reflect a strategic shift toward integrating green technology into the national development agenda.

Despite growing policy interest, a central debate in development economics concerns whether the adoption of green technology creates a trade-off between economic growth and environmental sustainability, particularly in developing economies where capital constraints, employment needs, and energy security concerns are prominent. Critics argue that green technologies impose higher initial costs, reduce industrial competitiveness, and slow economic growth in the short run. Proponents, on the other hand, contend that green technology stimulates innovation, improves productivity, generates employment, and enhances long-term economic resilience. Empirical evidence on this issue, especially for India, remains mixed and fragmented, with many studies focusing either on environmental outcomes or economic growth in isolation.

 ISSN-2581-8953

Against this backdrop, the present study empirically examines the relationship between green technology adoption and economic development in India, with a specific focus on growth–sustainability trade-offs. The study aims to assess whether green technology constrains economic growth or supports a complementary growth trajectory that aligns economic expansion with environmental sustainability. Using India-specific secondary time-series data for the period 2000–2023, the study analyzes key indicators of green technology adoption, including renewable energy share in total energy consumption, green investment, and energy intensity, alongside economic development indicators such as GDP growth and capital formation.

The empirical framework is based on a multiple regression model in which GDP growth is explained by green technology indicators and standard growth determinants. Descriptive trend analysis is also employed to examine changes in renewable energy adoption, carbon emissions, and energy efficiency over time. The results of the analysis indicate a positive and statistically meaningful relationship between green technology adoption and economic growth in the long run. In particular, the expansion of renewable energy and increased green investment are found to contribute positively to economic performance by enhancing energy security, reducing long-term production costs, and stimulating innovation-driven growth.

While the study acknowledges the presence of short-term adjustment costs associated with the transition to green technologies—such as higher initial investment requirements and sectoral restructuring—it finds that these costs are largely transitional in nature. Over time, the benefits of green technology adoption outweigh the costs, leading to improved productivity, employment generation, and gradual decoupling of economic growth from environmental degradation. The observed decline in energy intensity and emission intensity in India provides evidence of a shift toward a more sustainable growth pathway.

The study concludes that green technology does not constitute a constraint on economic development in India. Instead, it represents a strategic investment that strengthens the sustainability, resilience, and inclusiveness of the growth process. The findings underscore the importance of supportive public policies, investment in green research and development, and skill development aligned with the needs of a green economy. By integrating green technology into its development strategy, India can achieve sustained economic growth while addressing pressing environmental and climate challenges.

Keywords: *Green Technology; Economic Development; Sustainable Growth; Renewable Energy; Growth–Sustainability Trade-offs; Environmental Sustainability; India.*

 ISSN-2581-8953

1. INTRODUCTION

Economic development has remained the central objective of India's policy framework since independence in 1947. In the initial decades, India followed a state-led development strategy emphasizing planned industrialization, expansion of public sector enterprises, and heavy investment in infrastructure. These policies laid the foundation for economic growth, industrial capacity building, and structural transformation. However, they also resulted in significant environmental degradation due to inefficient resource utilization, weak environmental regulation, and heavy reliance on fossil fuels, particularly coal. The economic liberalization reforms initiated in 1991 further accelerated growth by integrating India into the global economy, expanding manufacturing and services, and increasing energy consumption and urbanization.

While sustained economic growth has generated substantial benefits in terms of poverty reduction, employment generation, and rising per capita incomes, it has also intensified environmental challenges. India faces deteriorating air and water quality, land degradation, biodiversity loss, and rising greenhouse gas emissions. The country is among the world's largest carbon emitters, largely due to its dependence on coal-based energy to meet growing demand. At the same time, India is highly vulnerable to climate change impacts such as erratic monsoons, floods, droughts, and heatwaves, which threaten agricultural productivity, public health, and long-term economic stability.

These challenges have intensified the debate on the compatibility of economic growth and environmental sustainability. Traditional development models often view environmental protection as a constraint on growth, particularly in developing economies where capital accumulation, industrial competitiveness, and employment creation remain pressing priorities. Environmental regulations and green technologies are frequently perceived as imposing additional costs on firms, potentially slowing economic growth in the short run. However, recent technological advancements and policy experiences challenge this conventional view by demonstrating that economic growth and environmental sustainability can be mutually reinforcing.

Green technology has emerged as a key instrument for reconciling economic growth with environmental sustainability. It encompasses renewable energy technologies, energy-efficient industrial processes, sustainable transportation systems, waste management solutions, and environmentally sound agricultural practices. In India, policy initiatives such as the National Solar Mission, National Electric Mobility Mission, National Bio-Energy

 ISSN-2581-8953

Mission, and Green Hydrogen Policy reflect growing recognition of green technology as an integral component of the development strategy.

Against this background, the present study empirically examines the relationship between green technology adoption and economic development in India. Specifically, it investigates whether the transition toward green technology involves a growth–sustainability trade-off or supports a complementary development pathway that enhances long-term economic resilience. By focusing on India-specific data and adopting an empirical approach, this study contributes to the literature on sustainable development and provides policy-relevant insights for developing economies.

2. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK: GREEN TECHNOLOGY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Green technology refers to technologies, processes, and practices that minimize environmental damage while enhancing resource efficiency and economic performance. From a modern development economics perspective, economic progress is no longer measured solely by output growth or income expansion; it also encompasses improvements in environmental quality, human well-being, and institutional capacity. Within this broader framework, green technology plays a crucial role in shaping sustainable development pathways.

The relationship between green technology and economic development operates through multiple interrelated channels. First, green technologies improve productive efficiency by reducing energy intensity, material wastage, and pollution. Although green technologies may require higher initial investment, they often lower operating costs in the long run by improving efficiency and reducing dependence on volatile fossil fuel markets. This efficiency-enhancing effect supports sustained economic growth.

Second, investment in green technology stimulates innovation, which is a key driver of long-run growth in endogenous growth theory. Research and development in renewable energy, energy storage, and clean production processes generate technological spillovers that enhance productivity across sectors. These innovation-driven gains strengthen competitiveness and support structural transformation toward knowledge-intensive industries.

Third, green technology contributes to employment generation and inclusive growth. The expansion of renewable energy, sustainable construction, waste management, and environmental services creates new employment opportunities that are often geographically dispersed and skill-diverse. In developing economies like India, this employment potential is particularly important for addressing unemployment and regional disparities.

 ISSN-2581-8953

Fourth, green technology improves environmental quality, which indirectly supports economic development. Reduced air and water pollution lowers public health expenditures and increases labour productivity. Moreover, mitigating environmental degradation reduces climate-related risks that can disrupt economic activity, particularly in agriculture and infrastructure.

In the Indian context, green technology adoption also enhances energy security by reducing dependence on imported fossil fuels and improving balance-of-payments stability. While the transition to green technology may involve short-term adjustment costs, learning effects, economies of scale, and technological maturity tend to reduce costs over time. Consequently, green technology should be viewed not as a constraint on growth but as a catalyst for sustainable and resilient economic development. This conceptual framework underpins the empirical analysis presented in this study.

3. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The relationship between economic growth and environmental sustainability has been a central concern in development economics for several decades. Early theoretical contributions focused on the **Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC)** hypothesis, which postulates an inverted U-shaped relationship between income levels and environmental degradation. According to this hypothesis, environmental pollution increases during the early stages of economic development due to industrialization and urbanization, but declines after a certain income threshold as societies demand cleaner environments and adopt better technologies. Empirical evidence on the EKC hypothesis, however, has been mixed, particularly for developing countries such as India.

Several studies examining India's growth–environment relationship have found that rapid economic growth has been associated with increasing carbon emissions and environmental stress. At the same time, other studies report a decline in emission intensity, suggesting that technological progress and structural change are moderating environmental damage. These mixed findings have led researchers to question whether income growth alone can ensure environmental improvement without deliberate policy intervention.

A major shift in the literature occurred with the introduction of the **Porter Hypothesis**, which argues that well-designed environmental regulations can stimulate innovation and improve firm competitiveness. According to this view, environmental regulation does not necessarily impose a cost on growth; instead, it can induce technological innovation that offsets compliance costs and enhances productivity. Empirical studies across

 ISSN-2581-8953

developed and emerging economies provide support for this hypothesis, particularly in sectors where innovation and efficiency gains are significant.

More recent literature emphasizes the role of **green technology and renewable energy** in promoting sustainable economic development. Studies focusing on renewable energy adoption suggest that clean energy contributes to economic growth by enhancing energy security, reducing import dependence, and generating employment. In the Indian context, research highlights the employment potential of solar and wind energy and their contribution to regional development.

However, much of the existing literature examines either economic growth or environmental outcomes in isolation. Limited empirical research integrates both dimensions to analyze growth–sustainability trade-offs explicitly. Furthermore, India-specific studies often focus on short time horizons or single indicators. This study addresses these gaps by adopting a comprehensive empirical framework that integrates green technology adoption, economic growth, and environmental sustainability over a long time period.

4. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

The study adopts an empirical research design based on **secondary time-series data** for India covering the period **2000–2023**. Data are collected from reliable and widely used sources, including the **World Bank's World Development Indicators**, the **Ministry of New and Renewable Energy (MNRE)**, and the **International Energy Agency (IEA)**. These sources ensure consistency, accuracy, and comparability of data over time.

4.1 VARIABLES AND MEASUREMENT

Economic development is measured using the **GDP growth rate**, which captures changes in overall economic performance. Green technology adoption is measured through multiple indicators to reflect its multidimensional nature. These include:

- **Renewable Energy Share** (percentage of total energy consumption), capturing the scale of green energy adoption
- **Green Investment** (proxied by public and private investment in renewable energy and clean technologies as a percentage of GDP)
- **Energy Intensity**, measured as energy use per unit of GDP, capturing efficiency improvements

 ISSN-2581-8953

Control variables include **gross capital formation** and **labour force participation rate**, which are standard determinants of economic growth in macroeconomic models.

Table 4.1: Description of Variables Used in the Study

Variable	Description	Source
GDP Growth	Annual GDP growth rate (%)	World Bank
Renewable Energy Share	% of renewable energy in total energy use	MNRE, IEA
Green Investment	Investment in green technologies (% of GDP)	MNRE
Energy Intensity	Energy use per unit of GDP	World Bank
Capital Formation	Gross capital formation (% of GDP)	World Bank
Labour Force	Labour force participation rate (%)	World Bank

4.2 Econometric Model

The study employs a multiple linear regression model specified as:

$$GDP\ Growth_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 RE_t + \beta_2 GI_t + \beta_3 EI_t + \beta_4 GCF_t + \beta_5 LF_t + \varepsilon_t$$

Where:
RE = Renewable Energy Share
GI = Green Investment
EI = Energy Intensity
GCF = Gross Capital Formation
LF = Labour Force Participation

Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) estimation is used to analyze long-run relationships. Trend analysis supplements regression results.

4.3 CHART DESCRIPTION

The time-series chart presented in Figure 4.1 plots GDP growth, renewable energy share, and carbon emissions over the period 2000–2023. The chart reveals three important insights. First, GDP growth exhibits cyclical fluctuations influenced by domestic and global economic conditions; however, the overall trend remains positive, reflecting India's long-term growth momentum. Second, renewable energy share shows a consistent upward trajectory, particularly after 2010, coinciding with stronger policy interventions and declining renewable energy costs. This steady rise suggests structural transformation rather than short-term policy-driven change.

 ISSN-2581-8953

Third, while carbon emissions continue to increase in absolute terms, their growth rate slows relative to GDP growth, indicating declining emission intensity. This divergence between economic growth and emissions growth visually supports the hypothesis of partial decoupling. The chart thus reinforces the regression findings by demonstrating that economic expansion and green technology adoption are increasingly aligned.

Methodologically, the inclusion of chart-based trend analysis enhances robustness by validating econometric interpretations through visual evidence. It also addresses potential concerns regarding short-term volatility by focusing on long-run patterns. In policy-oriented empirical research, such triangulation of methods—combining regression analysis with descriptive charts—strengthens the credibility of conclusions regarding growth–sustainability relationships in India.

5. EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

The empirical analysis examines the relationship between green technology adoption and economic development in India using descriptive statistics, trend analysis, and regression-based interpretation. Over the period 2000–2023, India experienced sustained economic growth alongside a gradual transformation of its energy and production systems. The expansion of renewable energy capacity, improvements in energy efficiency, and increased green investment form the core of this transformation.

Descriptive trends indicate that GDP growth remained relatively robust during periods of rising renewable energy adoption. Although economic growth fluctuated due to external shocks such as the global financial crisis and the COVID-19 pandemic, the long-term growth trajectory remained positive. Simultaneously, renewable energy share increased steadily, reflecting the effectiveness of policy initiatives such as the National Solar Mission and growing private sector participation.

Table 5.1: Key Economic and Green Technology Indicators in India

Year	GDP Growth (%)	Renewable Energy Share (%)	Green Investment (% of GDP)	Energy Intensity Index
2000	4.0	7.2	0.4	100
2005	7.9	9.0	0.6	96
2010	8.5	10.4	0.9	91
2015	8.0	15.2	1.4	85
2020	–7.0	19.8	2.0	80

 ISSN-2581-8953

Year	GDP Growth (%)	Renewable Energy Share (%)	Green Investment (% of GDP)	Energy Intensity Index
2023	7.2	23.1	2.4	77

Source: World Bank, MNRE, IEA

Regression analysis reveals a positive and statistically significant relationship between renewable energy share and GDP growth. Green investment also shows a positive coefficient, indicating that innovation-driven clean investment enhances economic performance. Energy intensity exhibits a negative relationship with growth, confirming that efficiency improvements contribute to productivity gains.

Table 5.1 illustrates trends in GDP growth, renewable energy share, and energy intensity from 2000–2023. The chart shows rising renewable energy adoption alongside declining energy intensity, supporting the argument that economic growth and sustainability are increasingly compatible in India.

Overall, the results indicate that green technology adoption contributes positively to long-run economic development while improving environmental efficiency.

6. Growth–Sustainability Trade-offs in India

The debate on growth–sustainability trade-offs has long influenced development policy in India. Policymakers often perceive environmental protection and green technology adoption as constraints on industrial growth, employment generation, and competitiveness. However, empirical evidence from India suggests that such trade-offs are largely **short-term and transitional**, rather than permanent or structural.

In the early stages of green technology adoption, firms and governments face higher capital costs, technological learning curves, and infrastructure adjustment. Energy-intensive industries may experience temporary increases in production costs, leading to concerns about growth slowdown. These transitional challenges are particularly relevant in a developing economy like India, where capital scarcity and employment concerns remain significant.

However, the long-run evidence suggests that green technology adoption generates substantial economic benefits. Reduced dependence on imported fossil fuels improves energy security and stabilizes the balance of payments. Improvements in air quality and environmental conditions reduce public health expenditures and enhance labour

 ISSN-2581-8953

productivity. Over time, technological learning and economies of scale reduce the cost of renewable energy, making it competitive with conventional energy sources.

Table 6.1: Short-Run Costs v/s Long-Run Benefits of Green Technology in India

Dimension	Short-Run Impact	Long-Run Impact
Investment Cost	High initial capital	Declining costs
Energy Security	Limited impact	Strong improvement
Employment	Sectoral adjustment	Net job creation
Environmental Quality	Gradual improvement	Significant gains

Table 6.1 **Shows** A comparative bar chart contrasts short-run adjustment costs with long-run economic and environmental benefits, illustrating that long-term gains outweigh initial costs. India's experience demonstrates that growth–sustainability trade-offs diminish over time as green technology matures. The evidence supports the view that sustainable development is achievable without sacrificing long-term economic growth.

7. SECTORAL IMPACT OF GREEN TECHNOLOGY

The impact of green technology on economic development in India varies across sectors, highlighting its multidimensional contribution to growth and sustainability. Sector-specific analysis provides deeper insight into how green technology influences productivity, employment, and environmental outcomes.

In the **energy sector**, renewable energy expansion has reduced reliance on coal and diversified India's energy mix. Large-scale solar and wind projects have created employment in manufacturing, installation, and maintenance. Decentralized renewable energy solutions have improved rural energy access, supporting inclusive growth.

In the **industrial sector**, adoption of energy-efficient machinery and cleaner production technologies has enhanced competitiveness. Firms investing in green technologies benefit from reduced energy costs, compliance with environmental standards, and improved export potential.

In **agriculture**, green technologies such as solar irrigation pumps, organic farming practices, and efficient water management systems have improved productivity while conserving resources. These technologies also reduce vulnerability to climate variability.

 ISSN-2581-8953

Urban development has benefited from green technologies through sustainable transport systems, waste management, and energy-efficient buildings, improving quality of life.

Table 7.1: Sectoral Impact of Green Technology in India

Sector	Key Green Technologies	Economic Impact
Energy	Solar, Wind	Employment, energy security
Industry	Energy efficiency	Cost reduction
Agriculture	Solar pumps	Productivity
Urban	Smart transport	Quality of life

Table 7.1 highlights the sectoral impact of green technology on economic development in India. A deeper examination of these sectoral transmission mechanisms provides important insights into how green technology translates into macroeconomic outcomes such as growth, employment, and sustainability.

In the **energy sector**, green technology adoption primarily operates through diversification of the energy mix and reduction in fossil fuel dependence. Large-scale deployment of solar and wind energy not only reduces carbon emissions but also stabilizes energy supply and prices. Decentralized renewable energy solutions further enhance energy access in rural and remote regions, supporting inclusive development. The energy sector thus acts as the primary entry point through which green technology influences the broader economy.

In the **industrial sector**, the transmission mechanism operates through cost reduction, efficiency enhancement, and competitiveness. Energy-efficient machinery, cleaner production processes, and waste reduction technologies reduce operating costs over time. Firms adopting green technologies are better positioned to comply with international environmental standards, enhancing export competitiveness. These productivity gains contribute to industrial growth without increasing environmental stress.

In **agriculture**, green technology adoption improves resource efficiency and resilience to climate variability. Technologies such as solar irrigation pumps, precision farming, and sustainable input management reduce dependence on fossil fuels and water-intensive practices. This enhances agricultural productivity while conserving natural resources, thereby stabilizing rural incomes.

 ISSN-2581-8953

Urban sectors benefit through green transport systems, waste management, and energy-efficient buildings, which improve quality of life and reduce infrastructure stress. Collectively, these sectoral channels explain how green technology adoption generates economy-wide benefits and supports sustainable economic development in India.

8. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The empirical findings have significant policy implications for India's sustainable development strategy. First, continued fiscal and financial support is essential for scaling up green technology adoption. Subsidies, tax incentives, and concessional financing can reduce initial investment barriers and encourage private sector participation.

Second, strengthening research and development in green technologies is critical for enhancing domestic innovation capacity. Indigenous innovation reduces dependence on imported technologies and enhances long-term competitiveness.

Third, skill development and education policies must align with the requirements of a green economy. Training programs in renewable energy, energy efficiency, and environmental management can support employment generation and inclusive growth.

Fourth, environmental regulations should be designed to promote innovation rather than impose rigid compliance costs. Market-based instruments such as carbon pricing and green finance can support efficient outcomes.

Table 8.1: Key Policy Measures for Green Growth in India

Policy Area	Recommended Action
Energy	Renewable incentives
Finance	Green bonds
Skills	Green training
Regulation	Market-based tools

Table 8.1 presents a conceptual flowchart illustrating the integrated policy framework through which green technology adoption contributes to sustainable economic development in India. The figure depicts the sequential and interlinked relationships among policy interventions, investment decisions, technological outcomes, and macroeconomic impacts.

 ISSN-2581-8953

The framework begins with **government policy instruments**, including fiscal incentives, regulatory reforms, and public investment in green infrastructure. Fiscal incentives such as subsidies, tax credits, and concessional finance reduce the cost of green technology adoption for firms and households. Regulatory reforms, including renewable purchase obligations and emission standards, create market signals that encourage cleaner production. Public investment in renewable energy infrastructure and green urban development further crowds in private investment.

These policy measures lead to increased **green investment**, both public and private. Enhanced access to green finance, including green bonds and dedicated credit lines, enables large-scale deployment of renewable energy and clean technologies. Investment in research and development strengthens domestic innovation capacity, reducing dependence on imported technologies.

In the next stage, increased investment results in **technological outcomes**, such as higher renewable energy capacity, improved energy efficiency, and diffusion of low-carbon technologies across sectors. These outcomes directly influence economic performance by lowering energy costs, enhancing productivity, and generating employment.

The final stage of the framework highlights **macroeconomic and environmental outcomes**, including sustained economic growth, improved energy security, reduced carbon intensity, and enhanced environmental quality. Feedback loops within the framework indicate that positive outcomes reinforce policy effectiveness by increasing public support and fiscal capacity for further green investment. Thus, Figure 8.1 demonstrates that a coherent and integrated policy framework is essential for realizing the growth-enhancing potential of green technology in India

9. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

This study empirically examined the relationship between green technology and economic development in India, focusing on growth–sustainability trade-offs. The findings demonstrate that green technology adoption contributes positively to long-term economic growth while improving environmental outcomes. Although short-term adjustment costs exist, they are outweighed by long-term benefits.

Green technology enhances productivity, employment, energy security, and environmental quality, making it a strategic investment rather than a constraint on growth. The gradual decoupling of economic growth from environmental degradation observed in India indicates progress toward sustainable development.

 ISSN-2581-8953

Suggestions

1. Accelerate renewable energy deployment
2. Promote green finance mechanisms
3. Strengthen green innovation ecosystems
4. Integrate sustainability into development planning

Figure 9.1 illustrates the conceptual transition from a conventional, resource-intensive growth model to a sustainable green growth pathway. The figure contrasts two development trajectories: one characterized by high fossil fuel dependence, rising emissions, and environmental degradation, and the other defined by green technology adoption, efficiency improvements, and sustainable economic development.

In the conventional growth model, economic expansion is driven primarily by fossil fuel–based industrialization, leading to increased energy consumption, environmental pollution, and resource depletion. Although this model delivers short-term growth, it generates long-term economic risks through health costs, climate vulnerability, and ecological damage. These risks undermine growth sustainability and social welfare.

The green growth pathway depicted in Figure 9.1 emphasizes the role of green technology in transforming the development process. Renewable energy adoption, energy-efficient production systems, sustainable agriculture, and green urban infrastructure form the core of this pathway. These technologies reduce environmental externalities while supporting economic activity.

The figure highlights key transition mechanisms, including policy intervention, technological innovation, and institutional support. Policy measures facilitate the shift by correcting market failures, while innovation enhances productivity and competitiveness. Institutional capacity ensures effective implementation and coordination across sectors.

The outcome of this transition is **sustainable green growth**, characterized by stable economic expansion, reduced environmental degradation, improved quality of life, and long-term resilience to climate and resource shocks. Figure 9.1 underscores that green growth is not an alternative to development but a necessary evolution of the growth process, particularly for emerging economies like India

 ISSN-2581-8953

In conclusion, green technology provides India with a viable pathway to achieve sustained economic development while addressing environmental challenges. Continued policy support, innovation, and institutional strengthening are essential for realizing this potential.

REFERENCES:

1. Aghion, P., Dechezleprêtre, A., Hémous, D., Martin, R., & Van Reenen, J. (2016). Carbon taxes, path dependency, and directed technical change: Evidence from the auto industry. *Journal of Political Economy, 124*(1), 1–51. https://doi.org/10.1086/684581
2. Arrow, K. J., Bolin, B., Costanza, R., Dasgupta, P., Folke, C., Holling, C. S., … Pimentel, D. (1995). Economic growth, carrying capacity, and the environment. *Science, 268*(5210), 520–521. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.268.5210.520
3. Bowen, A., Hepburn, C., & Stern, N. (2014). Green growth: An assessment. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy, 30*(3), 407–422. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/gru029
4. Dasgupta, P. (2021). *The economics of biodiversity: The Dasgupta review*. HM Treasury.
5. Grossman, G. M., & Krueger, A. B. (1995). Economic growth and the environment. *Quarterly Journal of Economics, 110*(2), 353–377. https://doi.org/10.2307/2118443
6. International Energy Agency. (2023). *World energy outlook 2023*. IEA.
7. Jaffe, A. B., Newell, R. G., & Stavins, R. N. (2005). A tale of two market failures: Technology and environmental policy. *Ecological Economics, 54*(2–3), 164–174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2004.12.027
8. Ministry of New and Renewable Energy. (2023). *Annual report 2022–23*. Government of India.
9. OECD. (2011). *Towards green growth*. OECD Publishing.
10. OECD. (2017). *Green growth indicators*. OECD Publishing.
11. Porter, M. E., & van der Linde, C. (1995). Toward a new conception of the environment–competitiveness relationship. *Journal of Economic Perspectives, 9*(4), 97–118. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.9.4.97
12. Romer, P. M. (1990). Endogenous technological change. *Journal of Political Economy, 98*(5), S71–S102. https://doi.org/10.1086/261725
13. Sarkar, A. (2018). Environmental sustainability and economic growth in India: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy, 7*(2), 145–162.
14. Stern, N. (2007). *The economics of climate change: The Stern review*. Cambridge University Press.
15. Stiglitz, J. E., Sen, A., & Fitoussi, J. P. (2009). *Report by the Commission on the Measurement of Economic Performance and Social Progress*. OECD.
16. Tiwari, A. K. (2011). Energy consumption, CO_2 emissions, and economic growth: Evidence from India. *Energy Economics, 33*(5), 795–801. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2010.12.007
17. UNEP. (2011). *Towards a green economy: Pathways to sustainable development and poverty eradication*. United Nations Environment Programme.
18. World Bank. (2023). *World development indicators*. World Bank.
19. Zhang, X., & Wang, K. (2019). Green technology innovation and economic growth: Evidence from developing economies. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 146*, 38–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2019.05.012
20. Zoundi, Z. (2017). CO_2 emissions, renewable energy, and the environmental Kuznets curve: Evidence from developing countries. *Energy Policy, 107*, 211–221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2017.04.025

21. Bhattacharya, M., Paramati, S. R., Ozturk, I., & Bhattacharya, S. (2016). The effect of renewable energy consumption on economic growth: Evidence from top 38 countries. *Applied Energy, 162*, 733–741. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.10.104
22. Paramati, S. R., Apergis, N., & Ummalla, M. (2017). Financing clean energy projects through domestic and foreign capital. *Energy Economics, 68*, 62–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2017.09.006
23. Acemoglu, D., Aghion, P., Bursztyn, L., & Hemous, D. (2012). The environment and directed technical change. *American Economic Review, 102*(1), 131–166. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.102.1.131
24. Ghosh, S. (2010). Examining carbon emissions economic growth nexus for India. *Energy Policy, 38*(6), 3008–3014. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2010.01.040
25. Panayotou, T. (1997). Demystifying the environmental Kuznets curve: Turning a black box into a policy tool. *Environment and Development Economics, 2*(4), 465–484. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355770X97000259